PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's fil PCT 21412Y | e reference | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| International application PCT/US2005/009874 | No. | International filing date (day/month/year) 24 March 2005 (24.03.2005) | Priority date (day/month/year) 26 March 2004 (26.03.2004) | |
| nternational Patent Class See relevant information | | edition unless older edition indicated) CT/ISA/237 | | |
| Applicant MERCK & CO., INC. | | | | |
| M211011 d 00., 1110. | | | # | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | by the International Bureau on behalf of the | |
| International Sea | rening Author | ity under Rule 44 bis.1(a). | | |
| 2. This REPORT co | nsists of a tota | al of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | |
| | | ence to the written opinion of the Internat report on patentability (Chapter I) instead | ional Searching Authority should be read as a reference | |
| | p | | | |
| 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | |
| Box No. I Basis of the report | | | | |
| Box 1 | Vo. II | Priority | | |
| Box I | No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with reapplicability | gard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| Box 1 | No. VI | Certain documents cited | | |
| Box 1 | No. VII | Certain defects in the international app | plication | |
| Box 1 | No. VIII | Certain observations on the internation | nal application | |
| | the applicant | | ces in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but 3(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority | |
| | | | | |
| | | | uance of this report mber 2006 (26.09.2006) | |

Authorized officer

e-mail: pt05@wipo.int

Ellen Moyse

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

| From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING A | UTHORITY | | | | REC'D 07 NO | IV 2005 |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| To: MERCK & CO., INC 126 EAST LINCOLN AVENUE | | | | PCT | WIPO | F |
| RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907 | | | WRIT INTERNATIO | TTEN OPINION O NAL SEARCHING | F THE 3 AUTHORITY | |
| | | | • | (PCT Rule 43bis.1) |) | |
| | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 03 NOA | 2005 | |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference | | | FOR FURTHER A | CTION ee paragraph 2 below | | |
| PCT 21412Y | | al filing data | (day/month/year) | Priority date (day/mon | th/vear) | \dashv |
| International application No. | | | ` ' | | | Ì |
| PCT/US05/09874 International Patent Classification (| 24 March | 2005 (24.03.2 | | 26 March 2004 (26.03 | .2004) | |
| | | | | | | Ì |
| IPC(7): C12Q 1/68; C07H 21/02, | 21/04 and US CI.: | : 435/6; 536/2 | 23.1, 24.3, 24.31 | | | \neg |
| Applicant | | | | | | |
| MERCK & CO., INC. | | | | | | = |
| 1. This opinion contains indication | ns relating to the | following iter | ns: | | | |
| Box No. I Basis | Box No. I Basis of the opinion | | | | | |
| Box No. II Priority | | | | | | |
| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with | | | egard to novelty, inve | ntive step and industria | l applicability | |
| Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention | | | | | | |
| Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | o novelty, inventive ste atement | p or industrial | | |
| Box No. VI Certa | in documents cite | ed | | | | |
| Box No. VII Certain defects in the international | | | | | | |
| Box No. VIII Certa | nin observations o | on the internat | ional application | | | |
| 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. | | | | | | |
| If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | of | | |
| 3. For further details, see notes | to Form PCT/IS/ | A/220. | · | | | |
| Name and mailing address of the Mail Stop PCT, Aun: ISA | ISA/ US | Date of compopinion | oletion of this | Authorized officer Mark L. Shibuya | 7. Roberts | for |
| Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 2231 | | 06 October 2 | 2005 (06.10.2005) | Telephone No. (571) |) 272-1600 | |

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

| International application No. | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| PCT/US05/09874 | |

| Box N | o. I | Basis of this opinion | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1. With | . With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | |
| \boxtimes | | international application in the language in which it was filed | | | | |
| | a tra | nslation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the oses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)). | | | | |
| 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: | | | | | | |
| a. | type | of material | | | | |
| | | a sequence listing | | | | |
| | | table(s) related to the sequence listing | | | | |
| b. | fori | nat of material | | | | |
| | | on paper | | | | |
| | | in electronic form . | | | | |
| c. | tim | e of filing/furnishing | | | | |
| | | contained in the international application as filed. | | | | |
| | | filed together with the international application in electronic form. | | | | |
| | | furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. | | | | |
| 3. | e*1. | addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been ad or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. | | | | |
| 4. Add | ditiona | comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
|] | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/US05/09874

| Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
|--|
| The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: |
| the entire international application |
| claims Nos. 10 |
| because: |
| the said international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international search (specify): |
| the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 10 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): |
| Claim 10 depends from claim 10. |
| the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): |
| no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. |
| a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: |
| furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. |
| furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. |
| pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b). |
| a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. |
| the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. |
| See Supplemental Box for further details. |
| N. W. (April 2005) |

International application No.

| | | INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | PC17USUS/09874 |
|----------------|---------|--|--|
| В | ox No. | IV Lack of unity of invention | |
| 1. 2. 3. | | In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest for not paid additional fees This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not to pay additional fees. Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with | protest fee the was not paid complied with and chose not to invite the applicant |
| | See 0 | complied with not complied with for the following reasons: ne lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ | ISA/210) |
| 4 | 4. Cons | equently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following all parts. the parts relating to claims Nos. <u>1-9 and 11-16</u> | parts of the international application: |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. IV) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US05/09874

| Box No | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement | | | | |
|--|---|--------|--------------------|-----|--|
| 1. State | | | | | |
| | Novelty (N) | Claims | 6, 7 | YES | |
| | 140 verty (14) | | 1-5, 8, 9, 11-16 | ио | |
| | | | | | |
| | Inventive step (IS) | | NONE | YES | |
| | | Claims | 1-9, 11-16 | NO | |
| | | G1 : | | YES | |
| | Industrial applicability (IA) | | 1-9, 11-16 NONE | | |
| | | Claims | NONE | | |
| 2. Citati | ions and explanations: | | | | |
| Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11-16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 2004/0033495 A1 (MURRAY et al), throughout the publication, and especially at p. 1, p. 7, 9, pp. 24-25, p. 52, p. 111, disclose methods for determining the proliferative status of a population of endothelial cells using expression profiles and methods of screening for therapeutic agents, both methods using arrays that detect biomarkers of endothelial cells; gene probes against, for example, clusterin, (as in claims 3, 12 and 16), bone morphogenic protein (BMP), and arrays thereof. Claim 1-9 and 11-16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over US 2004/0033495 A1 (MURRAY et al) in view of US2003/0100567 A1 (BILODEAU et al). It would have prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to use method for determining the proliferative status of a population of endothelial cells, wherein a sample is prepared from a cancer patient treated with KDR kinase inhibitor and with Compound A. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to determine the proliferative status of a population of endothelial cells, wherein a sample is prepared from a cancer patient treated with KDR kinase inhibitor and with Compound A, because Bilodeau et al., throughout the publication and especially at pp. 1-2 and 13, teach treating tumor angiogenesis with tyrosine kinase inhibitor, including KDR kinase inhibitor and compound A. Claims 1-9 and 11-16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus possess industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)